

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CANADA

IN THE MATTER OF Section 53 of the *Supreme Court Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. S-26;

AND IN THE MATTER OF a Reference by the Governor in Council
concerning the proposed Canadian *Securities Act*, as set out in
Order in Council P.C. 2010, dated May 26, 2010

**FACTUM OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR SASKATCHEWAN,
INTERVENER**

Filed pursuant to Rule 42 of the *Rules of the Supreme Court of Canada*

**ATTORNEY GENERAL
FOR SASKATCHEWAN
Constitutional Law Branch
820 – 1874 Scarth Street
REGINA SK S4P 4B3**

Graeme G. Mitchell, Q.C.

**Tel: (306) 787-8385
Fax: (306) 787-9111
Email: Graeme.Mitchell@gov.sk.ca**

**Counsel for the Intervener
Attorney General for Saskatchewan**

**GOWLING, LAFLEUR,
HENDERSON LLP
2600 – 160 Elgin Street
OTTAWA ON K1P 1C3**

Henry S. Brown, Q.C.

**Tel: (613) 786-0139
Fax: (613) 563-9869
Email: henry.brown@gowlings.com**

**Ottawa Agent for the Intervener
Attorney General for Saskatchewan**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. OVERVIEW AND FACTS	1
A. Overview	1
B. Facts	2
II. POINT IN ISSUE	3
III. ARGUMENT	5
A. Federalism and the Requirement of Balance	5
B. Introduction to The Pith and Substance Inquiry	8
C. How the Trade and Commerce Power Should Be Applied in this <i>Reference</i>	9
D. Identifying the Pith and Substance of the Proposed <i>Act</i>	14
E. Application of the <i>General Motors</i> Criteria to the Proposed <i>Act</i>	20
E.1 The First Two Criteria – Regulatory Scheme and Oversight	20
E.2 The Third Criterion – Trade as A Whole Instead of a Single Industry	21
E.3 The Fourth Criterion – Provincial Incapacity	22
E.4 The Fifth Criterion – The Need for All Provinces to Participate	25
F. A Single Nation Securities Regulator – An Achievement of 21 st Century Co-operative Federalism	27
IV. COSTS	32
V. NATURE OF ORDER SOUGHT	32
VI. LIST OF AUTHORITIES	33