

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CANADA  
IN THE MATTER OF Section 53 of the *Supreme Court Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. S-26**

**AND IN THE MATTER OF a Reference by the Governor in Council  
concerning the proposed *Canadian Securities Act*, as set out in  
Order in Council P.C. 2010, dated May 26, 2010**

---

**FACTUM OF THE  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MANITOBA**

*(Pursuant to Rule 42 of the Supreme Court of Canada Rules)*

---

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
Constitutional Law Branch  
1205 - 405 Broadway  
Winnipeg MB R3C 3L6**

**EUGENE SZACH / NATHANIEL CARNEGIE**

**Telephone: 204-945-0679 / 945-8763  
Facsimile: 204-945-0053**

**Solicitors for the Intervener  
The Attorney General of Manitoba**

**GOWLING LAFLEUR HENDERSON LLP  
Barristers and Solicitors  
2600 - 160 Elgin Street  
Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3**

**HENRY S. BROWN, Q.C.**

**Telephone: 613-786-0139  
Facsimile: 613-563-9869**

**Ottawa Agents for the Intervener  
The Attorney General of Manitoba**

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CANADA  
IN THE MATTER OF Section 53 of the *Supreme Court Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. S-26**

**AND IN THE MATTER OF a Reference by the Governor in Council  
concerning the proposed Canadian *Securities Act*, as set out in  
Order in Council P.C. 2010, dated May 26, 2010**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page No.</u>
<b>PART I OVERVIEW AND STATEMENT OF FACTS .....</b>	<b>1</b>
Overview of Manitoba’s Position .....	1
Statement of Facts .....	2
<b>PART II REFERENCE QUESTION.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>PART III ARGUMENT.....</b>	<b>4</b>
The Test for Determining the Constitutionality of a Legislative Scheme .....	4
The Pith and Substance of the Proposed Canadian <i>Securities Act</i> .....	4
The Double Aspect Doctrine .....	11
Comprehensive Regulation of the Securities Industry is not a Matter Within the General Branch of the Trade and Commerce Power .....	15
The Standard of Proof.....	15
The <i>General Motors</i> Test.....	17
Is the legislation concerned with trade as a whole rather than a particular industry? .....	18
Would the provinces be constitutionally incapable of acting in the absence of federal legislation? .....	23
Would failure to include one or more provinces or localities in the scheme jeopardize the operation of the scheme in other parts of the country? .....	35
Conclusion .....	36
<b>PART IV ORDER SOUGHT CONCERNING COSTS .....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>PART V ORDER SOUGHT .....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>PART VI TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....</b>	<b>39</b>
Appendix 1 <i>The Securities Act</i> , R.S.M. 1988, C. S50, S. 148.2.....	42